

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 01824 060013Z
ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-14 EA-12 EUR-12 NEA-11
EB-08 TRSE-00 HA-05 CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 L-03
OIC-02 /102 W
-----030657 061106Z /10

R 060013Z MAY 78
FM USMISSION USUN NEW YORK
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1180
INFO USMISSION GENEVA

UNCLAS USUN NEW YORK 01824

EO 11652: NA
TAGS: SHUM, SOCI
SUBJECT: 64TH ECOSOC--EXPLANATION OF VOTES ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COVENANT ON ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS, AGENDA ITEM 5

AT THE 5/4 MEETING, TEN STATES EXPLAINED THEIR VOTE ON PREVIOUS DAY'S COVENANT RESOLUTION (E/1978/L.26). UK EMPHASIZED THAT THERE WAS NO CONSENSUS ON THE PROPOSAL. BANGLADESH RECOGNIZED THE EXISTENCE OF A COMPROMISE ON GROUP REPRESENTATION IN THE RESOLUTION THAT DID NOT COMprise PRECEDENT ON EQUITABLE GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION. PORTUGAL WAS NOT ENTIRELY SATISFIED WITH THE RESOLUTION BUT BELIEVED THE NECESSITY TO COMPROMISE WAS DETERMINATIVE. JAPAN ABSTAINED BECAUSE THE RESOLUTION DID NOT GIVE EQUITABLE GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION. JAMAICA FOUND NEED FOR CONSENSUS COMPELLING AND VIEWS WORKING GROUP AS A TEMPORARY FIRST STEP WITH NO PRECEDENT SET AS REGARDS EQUITABLE GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION. THEY DID NOT WANT TO BREAK CONSENSUS. JAMAICA ALSO FOUND THE DISTRIBUTION IN THE PROPOSED US AMENDMENT DID NOT GIVE EQUITABLE GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION. SWEDEN WAS ANXIOUS TO BEGIN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COVENANT AND VIEWED WORKING GROUP COMPOSITION AS TEMPORARY AND OF NO PRECEDENT VALUE.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 01824 060013Z

PHILIPPINES FOUND THE RESOLUTION A REASONABLE COMPROMISE. THEIR VOTE AGAINST THE US AMENDMENT WAS NOT IN OPPOSITION TO PRINCIPLE OF EQUITABLE GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION. FINLAND OPPOSED US AMENDMENT BECAUSE AS COSPONSORS OF MAIN RESOLUTION, THEY OPPOSED ALL AMENDMENTS; THEIR OPPOSITION TO THE US PROPOSAL DID NOT SPEAK FOR THEIR STAND ON EQUITABLE GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION. MAURITANIA

ABSTAINED ON US AMENDMENT BECAUSE THE DISTRIBUTION IT PROPOSED DID NOT FOLLOW CUSTOMARY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION.
NETHERLANDS FOUND THE RESOLUTION A REASONABLE COMPROMISE.
YOUNG

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ECONOMIC RIGHTS, VOTING, COVENANTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 06 may 1978
Decapton Date: 01 jan 1960
Decapton Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 jan 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978USUNN01824
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780193-0988
Format: TEL
From: USUN NEW YORK
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780585/aaaacuub.tel
Line Count: 66
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 2cf7b4a2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION IO
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 29 mar 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: N/A
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2768773
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: 64TH ECOSOC--EXPLANATION OF VOTES ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COVENANT ON ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS, AGENDA ITEM 5
TAGS: SHUM, SOCI, PORG, ECIN, ECOSOC
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/2cf7b4a2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014